


EXHIBIT M

N



From: arun [sidranelaw.com](mailto:arun@sidranelaw.com) <arun@sidranelaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 2:05 PM
To: George C. Gardner III <ggardner@lsnyc.org>
Subject: RE: Grunbaum v. Skloot, L&T Index No. 62648/16

9/30/2020

I have not received a decision.

I have forwarded your correspondence to management. With respect to the building the tenants reside in an SRO building, common areas are the responsibility of ownership. At least one violation has been placed in the common areas for obstructions, and no meaningful action has been taken to rectify the conditions.

Mr. Grunbaum, who is an authorized representative of the owner, states that he visited the premises at 2pm yesterday and presented ID. Obstructing Items in the premises were not removed from the common areas as per Mr. Grunbaum. Mr. Grunbaum, and the gentlemen with him, wore masks at the premises during the visit, and no one entered any of the tenants' units.

Furthermore, as per Mr. Grunbaum, he did not initiate any conversations with the tenants of the building. However, he states that Lucas Shapiro told him that he was concerned about visits by Mr. Grunbaum because "you people don't wash". It is noted that Mr. Grunbaum is of the Orthodox Jewish faith. Management and its agents wear appropriate PPE per State guidelines.

The owner reserves all rights, claims and defense and will pursue any appropriate remedy under the law.

Regards,

Arun Perinbasekar, Esq.
Partner

**Sidrane, Schwartz-Sidrane,
Perinbasekar & Littman, LLP
119 North Park Avenue, Suite 201
Rockville Centre, New York 11570**

**Main: 516-569-9539
Direct: 516-469-3987
Fax: 516-569-9560**

The information contained in this transmission may contain privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: George C. Gardner III [mailto:ggardner@lsnyc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 10:50 AM
To: arun [sidranelaw.com](mailto:arun@sidranelaw.com) <arun@sidranelaw.com>
Subject: Grunbaum v. Skloot, L&T Index No. 62648/16

Good Morning Arun,

I hope you and your family staying safe and healthy in this time of quarantine.

I write for two reasons:

First, have you received a decision from the court or any response to your letter? I haven't been in the office since 3/13 and have not been informed of any communications from the court.

Second, my clients inform me that two men recently entered their apartment unannounced. One did not identify himself. The other was a man identifying himself as Samuel Grunbaum, the son of your client. It is unclear whether he is actually an employee of your client. I'm told this is the third time Samuel has entered the apartment during the quarantine, ostensibly for the purpose of instructing my clients to remove personal belongings from various areas of the apartment.

Naturally, my clients are quite alarmed. They are also frustrated, given that this is the first of the three unannounced visits in which Samuel has identified himself. I understand that his general demeanor has been unfriendly, at best, and that the effect of his visits is more harassment than code compliance. They are troubled, too, by strangers entering their home during a public health crisis in which we are being instructed to maintain distance from strangers.

They want these visits to stop, and I agree that they should. These visits seem oddly timed and, considering they've all been unannounced, intended to surprise/unsettle more than to gain my client's cooperation with any particular course of action.

You may recall being copied on an email last week about my clients' concerns about the first two visits. Since there was a third visit last night, it seems those concerns have gone unheeded. I am asking that you speak with your client about this. It would be preferable that Samuel did not return (apparently there is already a superintendent with whom my clients have no problems) and that any future visits be announced in advance.

That said, my clients are extremely responsive to email, and any concerns your client has could be readily communicated to them that way. I am also more than willing to reinforce any legitimate concerns your client has, if you are able to discern what they are.

Apologies for the long email, but I wanted to convey fully the extent and urgency of my clients' concerns.

If you'd like to discuss any of the above, I'm happy to speak with you over the phone.

Take care,

George

George C. Gardner III
Supervising Attorney
Brooklyn Legal Services
105 Court Street | 4th Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Phone: (718) 237-5535
Fax: (646) 921-0450
ggardner@lsnyc.org



Please note that due to the COVID-19 outbreak, Legal Services NYC's offices are closed to the public. I will be working remotely during this time, so email is preferred to U.S. Mail.

This e-mail is sent by a law firm and contains information that may be privileged and confidential and may also be covered by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). If you are not the intended recipient, do not disseminate; please delete the e-mail and notify us immediately.

🌲 Please consider the environment before printing this email message.