

In re:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
Index No. 061458/2013

AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB

-v-

DMR EARNINGS & DESIRE TO PAY

DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Plaintiff attorney of record Zwicker & Associates action commenced July 2013.

Amex card 371339213796009 exp 1/11

and also in re: ..

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK
Index No. 100156/2011

AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB

-v-

DMR EARNINGS & DESIRE TO PAY

DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Plaintiff attorney of record: Jaffe & Asher action commenced March 2011

Amex card 371339213796009 exp 1/11

QQ11 SECTION ON ROSENBLUM EARNINGS/ / **Section on Rosenblum desire to pay;**:

1. Rosenblum has had minimal earnings from 2005-2014.
2. Rosenblum does not own a home, does not own a vehicle. DMR income at employ as truck driver 2011-2014 \$14 per hour continuing
3. Please see SSA document # January 28, 2011 before the Appeals Council of the Office of Disability Adjudication and Review, SSA, regarding Administrative Law Judge Lucian Vecchio decision November 14 2011 2010 at :

- a. {DMR#Q18} Appendix Q18 of 19 to **DMRAMEX08042014**
Efiled Document #60. Social Security Administration

November 2011 Hearing, 2012 Appeals Council and related.

- b. {DMR#1} TITLE: Answer 1; Affidavit in Opposition; originally filed April 14 2011 on Amex Card 371339213796009 Summons and Complaint NY County Supreme Index # 100156/2011 Attorney of record: Jaffe & Asher. Answer 1, Efiled Document #7. viewable at <http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/DMROriginalApril142011answer.php>

4. Note income over 10 year period 2005-2015, 1995-2005....Analogize to ACS servicing of traffic violations in NY State- no manner to litigate utilizing technology, only manner by which to collect. Note relationship of fee based financial data processing to non-financial data processing, analagoize to Xerox main business pre-digital. Here, borrower expects "level playing field" but has anything but insofar as contending with present dilemma. Rosenblum has articulated variously and severally over several years but yet remains in a situaltion whereby must articulate from scratch to new caller or when dialing in to Sallie Mae or ACS; all the while, certain data remains on file at major credit reporting agencies for seven years . And, benefits associated with data processing (ie Mastercard, Visa, etc) for everyday ordinary uses denied to Rosenblum, such as to rent a car....and, employment opportunities denied, negatives show but positives in court do not show. Rosenblum did not want sealed record, and desires to display what occurred over several years in official records. Yet, these data processers only show, for example, as per the Sallie Mae document Marhc 2014, that "deferment discussion had". This works against just results

5. Rosenblum has "just results" as goal.
6. Section on use of technology at ACS and at Sallie Mae, mimic Amex case

7. Statement regarding "public policy" as it relates to enforcement of justice in lending cases, tie back to TARP and "too big to fail"

8. Cite Durbin writing, and all parts Amex 21st Century Digital Critique

Note July 2014 had 5 Thursday paydays, unlike normal four week months. DMR able to pay TTAB for Declarations. Ticket for no seat belt, no moneys to pay for appeal or transcript of proceedings, albeit cite the data processing critique and notion that Facebook etc file sharing is free and BHC revenues for processing data critique important where file sharing deemed inappropriate for copyrighted music yet the technology allows for such sharing and efficient data processing and use of copyrighted data. Interstate commerce is effected where tolls are placed on the information superhighway simply to collect the toll or not permit passing. There is no market for services of processing data where the processors can gain ad revenues and put up a toll station and disallow use of the technology etc. elaborate on this...

9. Note as well that credit card lending companies and practices- and resources- are closely allied to educational lending; that is to cite both collection agencies' practices and allocation of resources, and, credit reporting companies or bureaus. The two loan forms vary greatly. The tactics and alteration of jurisprudence can be reprehensible.

10. A lender making representations that there is such lien is doing wrong in the judicial system.

11. As per contract 21st Century Digital Articles, Constitution, etc. are hereby incorporated into this pleading, to be referred to as necessary, should litigation ensue.

12. Cc to same group as October 1998 cc re: CitiGroup merger, via email, easily to the appropriate offices, which are US Government Offices serving jurisprudence in the US political economy.

13. Just results section:

14.

15. Note that DMR prefers strongly that he had earnings during the period 2007-2014 which were commensurate with and as a result of monies borrowed for that purpose during 2006-2011 for educational purposes and from Amex. Strong preference, and easier chore. As in 9152013 Just Results, if DMR were instantly in possession of a dollar amount equivalent to such earnings and with continued reasonable expected earnings commensurate with the

reasonableness of general assurances in the job market which are reasonable and normal/average, than, DMR would instantly seek to resolve the subject matter of the docket in just manner given such profile. Presently, however, this is not the case and, presently, given today's status quo, any new earnings, should they occur, in excess of DMRs 2014 \$14.50 salary bears the risk associated with change in vocation, change in skill use, lack of experience, interruption of course by unforeseen circumstance, interruption of course by circumstance related to today's filing and related subject matter; etc..

16.

17. Against the interest of justice to garnish wages or appropriate property from Rosenblum

Note to all parts DMRAMEX08042014 items {DMR#23} through {DMR#36} and Supplements DMRAMEX08042014 {Q1- Q19} which cumulatively are DMRAMEX08042014 Efiled Documents #29 through #62; today's filings are not comprehensive. Presently all parts are filed today for August 4th given a variety of factors mostly pertaining to resource allocation and the calendar. DMR cannot spend more weekends working on this necessary filing presently, and is working as a truck driver presently Monday thru Friday. However, the subject matter and format and titles and content of the documents today filed suffice to give Notice of all parts of DMRs contentions, allegations, assertions, etc to the intended recipients of this correspondence filed in NY State Supreme Court presently. DMR states that the categories, for example, of today's Q1 -Q16 supplements are of great import to DMRs filings, and the articles contained therein evidence DMRs assertions throughout this filing; DMR has accomplished more work on the same categories, and, additional work on such categories for this filing are necessary. And, DMR recognizes the fact that the instant filing , although desired to be filed in the NYC case where an RJI has already been filed, is actually

filed only in Suffolk Efile where no RJI has been filed, and, no motion fee is paid. DMR cites his 2002 Southampton tolling motion, which is 9152013 {{}}; such fees cannot at present are cost prohibitive by DMR; as necessary DMR will cite this 8041014 request for Joinder if the lenders here cited choose to commence litigation under a different docket # rather than recognizing the appropriateness of the joinder subject matter described in DMRs 08042014 materials. As per this paragraph and related paragraphs, therefore, it is recognized that DMRs 08042014 filing is not suggested to be comprehensive in addressing the relevant and pertinent subject matter and evidence supporting Rosenblum's assertions and claims made herein.

In any instance DMR reserves right to amend any letter or correspondence to a motion or discovery notice, and, amend any supplement to an exhibit in evidence for the subject matter herein under examination in any litigation.