

At IAS Part 3 of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of New York at the Courthouse, 60 Centre Street, Borough of Manhattan, City and State of New York, on the ___ day of _____ 2017.

**PRESENT: Hon. Eileen Bransten
Justice of the Supreme Court**

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

EROS INTERNATIONAL PLC,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MANGROVE PARTNERS, NATHANIEL H. AUGUST, MANUEL P. ASENSIO, ASENSIO & COMPANY, INC., MILL ROCK ADVISORS, INC., GEOINVESTING, LLC, CHRISTOPHER IRONS, DANIEL E. DAVID, FG ALPHA MANAGEMENT, LLC, FG ALPHA ADVISORS, FG ALPHA, L.P., CLARITYSPRING INC., CLARITYSPRING SECURITIES LLC, NATHAN Z. ANDERSON AND JOHN DOES NOS. 1-30,

Defendants.

Index No. 653096/2017E

Assigned to Hon. Eileen Bransten
IAS Part 3

Motion Sequence # ____

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
FOR DISMISSAL OF ALL CAUSES
OF ACTION AGAINST
CLARITYSPRING, INC.,
CLARITYSPRING SECURITIES LLC
and NATHAN Z. ANDERSON**

Upon reading the Affirmation of Susan M. Davies executed on November 30, 2017, including the exhibits annexed thereto, the Affidavit of Stephen Ryan, Jr. sworn to on November 30, 2017, including the exhibits annexed thereto, and the Memorandum of Law of ClaritySpring, Inc., ClaritySpring Securities LLC and Nathan Z. Anderson in Support of Motion to Dismiss Complaint, and upon all prior pleadings and proceedings had herein,

LET ANY INTERESTED PERSON APPEAR and show cause at IAS Part 3 of this Court, to be held in Courtroom 442 at the Courthouse at 60 Centre Street, New York, New York

10007, on the _____ day of _____ 2017 at _____ am/pm or as soon thereafter as the parties may be heard why an order should not be made and entered pursuant to Rule 3211 of the N.Y. Civil Practice Law and Rules dismissing with prejudice all causes of action asserted against Defendants ClaritySpring, Inc., ClaritySpring Securities LLC and Nathan Z. Anderson, and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper; and it is further

ORDERED that service of a copy of this order, and the papers upon which this order is granted, upon all parties that have appeared in this action via the NYSCEF system on or before the ____ day of _____ 2017 shall be deemed good and sufficient service; and it is further

ORDERED that any opposing papers shall be served on or before the ____ day of _____ 2017 on all parties that have appeared in this action; and it is further

ORDERED that any reply papers shall be served on or before the ____ day of _____ 2017 on all parties that have appeared in this action.

ENTER:

Hon. Eileen Bransten
Justice of Supreme Court