

EXHIBIT I

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 06/06/2019 09:51 PM
FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 12/01/2017 09:50 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 467
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 111

INDEX NO. 653096/2017
INDEX NO. 653096/2017
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/06/2019
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 12/01/2017

EXHIBIT K

Stephen W. Tountas

From: Manuel P. Asensio-Garcia <mpa@asensio.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 25, 2017 8:14 AM
To: Michael J. Bowe
Cc: kduarte@sloanepr.com; Stephen W. Tountas
Subject: Re: EROS

Mr. Bowe,

Good morning.

Litigation over your service allegations' compliance with C.P.L.R. would be frivolous and a burden and an abuse of this Court's time and resources. Please do not make it necessary by being unreasonable and commanding about an administrative stip.

I acknowledge constructive knowledge of the complaint. Believe it or not, the representations related to my knowledge are true. EROS was of no concern to me since asensio.com's last report previously.

You are losing touch with reality. You cannot ignore factors and circumstances simply because you can't address them.

Your firm's success in having the securities law class action is not applicable to asensio.com.

I plead with you to deal with the real actual facts circumstances and factor of asensio.com's particulars and to cease denying their existence.

Let's agree on these facts:

- 1.) No one at EROS replied in 2016. This is well documented and your denial or misunderstanding of the purpose and nature of our conversation is set by that documentation.
- 2.) All the elements of the fraud allegation against EROS existed as a public controversy in 2015.
- 3.) All of the fact and analysis statements in asensio.com's reports are based on the fraud allegation against EROS existed as a public controversy in 2015.
- 4.) Presently EROS' leaders and in its internal and external officers are deliberately refusing to replied.

There are other equally pertinent and applicable factors and circumstances that you and I should be discussing.

Can we speak today?

Manuel P. Asensio

On Nov 25, 2017, at 5:25 AM, Michael J. Bowe <MBowe@kasowitz.com> wrote:

You have been served. If you wish to object to service you can do so by the extended time we have offered to answer the complaint or in your opposition to the default judgment. In any event, we are not entering into any

stipulation regarding service, as that stage is complete.

Thank you.

Sent from my BlackBerry Smartphone on the Verizon 4G LTE Network
From: mpa@asensio.com
Sent: November 24, 2017 10:50 PM
To: MBowe@kasowitz.com
Cc: kduarte@sloanep.com; STountas@kasowitz.com
Subject: Re: EROS

****External Email****

Mr. Bowe,

Unspecified allegations are not constructive. You did ignore my emails and offer in June 2016, and are rejecting my offer again? This goes to substance and law.

I have not been served with a notice or complaint. I contacted you. Your offer provides less time to reply than I am legally entitled under statutes.

Are you affirmatively rejecting my offer? I will advise you on my proposed stipulation to accept service Monday.

Manuel P. Asensio

Michael J. Bowe
Kasowitz Benson Torres LLP
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
Tel. (212) 506-1777
Fax. (212) 835-5077
MBowe@kasowitz.com

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege. Use or disclosure of this e-mail or any such files by anyone other than a designated addressee is unauthorized. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify the sender by e-mail and delete this e-mail without making a copy.