

In re:

---

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF SUFFOLK  
Index No. 061458/2013

AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB

-v-

Re: {DMR#Q10} Appendix Q10 of 18 to  
DMRAMEX08042014 Efiled Document #52.Supplement  
: Other State, Digital Processes.

DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Plaintiff attorney of record Zwicker & Associates action commenced July 2013.

Amex card 371339213796009 exp 1/11

---

and also in re:

---

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK  
Index No. 100156/2011

AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB

-v-

Re: {DMR#Q10} Appendix Q10 of 18 to DMRAMEX08042014  
Efiled Document #52.Supplement : Other State, Digital  
Processes.

DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Plaintiff attorney of record: Jaffe & Asher action commenced March 2011

Amex card 371339213796009 exp 1/11

---



## *Thank You*

Your information has been received and will be reviewed by our automated system.  
 You may be asked to provide documentary proof of your answers.

**If there is a mistake in your Juror Index Number,  
 please fill out the online questionnaire again using the correct index number.**

**If there is a mistake in the first four letters of your last name or date of birth,  
 your information will not be processed and you will receive another questionnaire.**

**You may print this page for your records.**

<b>New York County</b>	
Juror Index Number	315148936
First four letters of last name	Rose
E-mail address	dmr@21centdig.com
1. Date of Birth	03/23/1969
2. Can you understand and communicate in English?	Yes
3. Are you a United States citizen?	Yes
4. Are you a resident of New York County?	Yes
5. Are you at least 18 years old?	Yes
6. Have you been convicted of a felony?	No
7. Have you served as a juror?	Yes
7a. Federal Court or State Court?	State/Town or Village Court
7b. If NYS, what County?	Suffolk
Date: 07/06/2014    Time:    11:29:00	

[Home](#)

## Dan Rosenblum

---

**From:** TEAS@uspto.gov  
**Sent:** Friday, July 04, 2014 8:07 PM  
**To:** dmr417@stern.nyu.edu  
**Subject:** Registration number 3626967: Received Your Combined Declaration of Use and Incontestability Under Section 8 and 15

We have received your Combined Declaration of Use and Incontestability Under Sections 8 and 15 for registration number '3626967'. A summary of your submission is listed below. If you determine that you made an error in the information you entered, **DO NOT** request any changes by e-mail, because the TEAS Support Team cannot make corrections. You may submit a new document and new fees, if time remains in the statutory period; however, the USPTO will not refund any fees paid as part of this current submission. For further information, please contact the Post Registration Division at (571) 272-9500, and ask to speak to an Affidavit Renewal Examiner. Or, see Chapter 1600 of the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure, at <http://tess2.uspto.gov/tmdb/tmep/1600.htm>

**REGISTRATION NUMBER:** 3626967

**REGISTRATION DATE:** 05/26/2009

**MARK:** TWENTY FIRST CENTURY DIGITAL

The owners, Daniel M Rosenblum, a citizen of United States, having an address of

Post Office Box 3377  
New York, New York 10163  
United States

TTS Industries, a sole proprietorship legally organized under the laws of New York, comprising of Daniel M Rosenblum United States, having an address of

PO Box 3377  
New York, New York 10163-3377  
United States

Twenty First Century Digital, a sole proprietorship legally organized under the laws of New York, comprising of Daniel M Rosenblum United States, having an address of

PO Box 3377  
New York, New York 10163-3377  
United States

TMTP USA Unlimited, a sole proprietorship legally organized under the laws of New York, comprising of Daniel M Rosenblum United States, having an address of

**Dan Rosenblum**

---

**From:** efile@courts.state.ny.us  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 15, 2013 4:20 PM  
**To:** efile@courts.state.ny.us; mscott@zwickerpc.com; dmr417@stern.nyu.edu; ereg@zwickerpc.com; jcawley@zwickerpc.com; SuffolkEF@courts.state.ny.us; dan@21centdig.com; sbann@zwickerpc.com  
**Subject:** NYSCEF Notification: Suffolk - Consumer Credit Transaction <APPENDIX> 061458/2013 (AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB. - v. - DANIEL ROSENBLUM) - Confirmation



***Suffolk County Supreme Court  
Notification - 09/15/2013***

This is an AUTOMATED response for Supreme Court / Court of Claims cases.

***Please retain this notification for your records.***

The NYSCEF web site has received document(s) from the filing user, **Daniel Rosenblum** , for the following case/claim.

---

**Case Information**

Index #: **061458/2013**

Short Caption: **AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB. - v. - DANIEL ROSENBLUM**

Assigned Case Judge: **No Judge Assigned**

---

**Filing User Information**

User Name: **Daniel Rosenblum**

Phone Number: **917-741-5319**

Fax Number:

Email Service Address: [dmr417@stern.nyu.edu](mailto:dmr417@stern.nyu.edu)

Work Address: **PO Box 3377, Grand Central Station, New York, NY, 10163**

---

**Documents Filed** (To view a document, click the document type link)

Doc	Document	Description	Motion	Received
-----	----------	-------------	--------	----------

#	Type		#	Date
10	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#4} Appendix 2 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 ¶ 6 , ¶ 7 ¶ 4 ¶ 10 ¶¶¶¶¶¶ Subject: DEFENDANT PROPOSED "JUST RESULTS" PRESENTLY: JUDICIAL STAY OF PROCEEDINGS ON DEFENDANT'S FUTURE MOTION OR STIPULATION BETWEEN PARTIES. , Efiled Document #10.		09/15/2013
11	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#5} Appendix 3 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 ¶ 6.1 ¶ 4 ¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶ et al Subject: POSSIBLE ABUSES OF NY CIVIL PRACTICE LAW AND RULES, AND NY MODEL RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BY AMEX ATTORNEYS: ZWICKER FIRM AND JAFFE FIRM IN THE CAPTIONED ACTIONS.		09/15/2013
12	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#6} Appendix 4 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 ¶ 9 ¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶. Subject : TOLLING MOTION SUPPORT: June 26 2002 EAST HAMPTON VILLAGE TOLLING MOTION AS BASIS FOR NEW SIMILAR MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS Efiled Document #12.		09/15/2013
13	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#7} Appendix 5 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 §§¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶ Subject : July 2013 Materials; webpage written and titled by Defendant Rosenblum as "July 2013 Materials" during July 2013. Efiled Document #13.		09/15/2013
14	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#8} Appendix 6 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 introduced at §§¶¶¶ 9 all ¶¶ 20.4 Subject : "TTS Industries established May 20 1996 by DMR at NY County Clerk's Office, 60 Centre Street, NY NY, historical perspective" Appendix 6 of 18, Efiled Document #14		09/15/2013
15	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#9} Appendix 7 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 ¶ 10 ¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶¶ Subject : "ROSENBLUM INTENDED CALENDAR IN THIS ACTION", Efiled Document #15.		09/15/2013
16	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#10} Appendix 8 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 §§¶¶¶ 12, ¶ 20-22 below ..., & ¶ 35. "21st Century Digital Analysis/Critique Generally": RE: PERMISSIBLE ACTIVITIES & TYING IN BANKING INDUSTRY; DURBIN AMENDMENT TO DODD FRANK ACT, 21ST CENTURY DIGITAL, Efiled Doc		09/15/2013
7	<a href="#">ANSWER</a>	{DMR#1} TITLE: Answer 1; Affidavit in Opposition; originally filed April 14 2011 on Amex Card 371339213796009 Summons and Complaint NY County Supreme Index # 100156/2011 Attorney of record: Jaffe & Asher. Answer 1, Efiled Document #7.		09/15/2013
8	<a href="#">ANSWER</a>	{DMR#2} TITLE:DMRAMEX091513 : Answer2/Main Document/Information TITLE:DMRAMEX091513: filed Suffolk County September 15, 2013. Efiled Document # 8. Please see Appendices 1-18 below.		09/15/2013
9	<a href="#">APPENDIX</a>	{DMR#3} Appendix 1 of 18 to DMRAMEX091513 ¶ 3 Filing Receipt August 12 2013 NY County Index # 100156/2011, Efiled Document #9.		09/15/2013

**E-mail Service Notifications Sent**

Name	Email Address
STEVEN BANN	<a href="mailto:sbann@zwickerpc.com">sbann@zwickerpc.com</a>
Daniel Rosenblum	<a href="mailto:dmr417@stern.nyu.edu">dmr417@stern.nyu.edu</a>

---

*THIS E-MAIL IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE NAMED ADDRESSEE(S) AND FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM. IF YOU ARE NEITHER THE INTENDED RECIPIENT NOR A PERSON DESIGNATED TO RECEIVE MESSAGES ON BEHALF OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY. THANK YOU.*

## Dan Rosenblum

---

**From:** Gregory Galterio  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 15, 2013 1:13 PM  
**To:** Daniel Rosenblum; pjaffe2624@aol.com; gshore@zwickerpc.com; gary@zwickerpc.com; rthuotte@zwickerpc.com; rlee@zwickerpc.com; andrewdick@zwickerpc.com; alerner@zwickerpc.com; cferguson@zwickerpc.com; Ceo@zwickerpc.com; cfreitas@zwickerpc.com; sbann@zwickerpc.com; jyulee@zwickerpc.com; gmsamples@zwickerpc.com; Ira Glauber; Rocco Luisi; Donald Luke; Larry Nessonson; Marshall Potashner; Sanford Asher; Kevin.T.Udy@aexp.com; Helen Ferguson Amex General Counsel; dan  
**Subject:** RE: 3rd Request; Form attached: Convert 2011 Paper Case to EFile

Dear Mr. Rosenblum:

We will not consent to e-filing.

Gregory E. Galterio  
Partner  
JAFFE & ASHER LLP  
600 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
Telephone 212-687-3000 (Ex. 2526)  
Facsimile 212-687-9639  
[ggalterio@jaffeandasher.com](mailto:ggalterio@jaffeandasher.com)

THIS ELECTRONIC MAIL MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE OR OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL. ANY DISSEMINATION, COPYING OR USE OF THIS E-MAIL BY OR TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THE DESIGNATED AND INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) IS UNAUTHORIZED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, PLEASE DELETE IT FROM YOUR SYSTEM IMMEDIATELY.

**From:** Daniel Rosenblum [mailto:dmr417@stern.nyu.edu]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 10, 2013 3:00 PM  
**To:** pjaffe2624@aol.com; gshore@zwickerpc.com; gary@zwickerpc.com; rthuotte@zwickerpc.com; rlee@zwickerpc.com; andrewdick@zwickerpc.com; alerner@zwickerpc.com; cferguson@zwickerpc.com; Ceo@zwickerpc.com; cfreitas@zwickerpc.com; sbann@zwickerpc.com; jyulee@zwickerpc.com; gmsamples@zwickerpc.com; Gregory Galterio; Ira Glauber; Rocco Luisi; Donald Luke; Larry Nessonson; Marshall Potashner; Sanford Asher; Kevin.T.Udy@aexp.com; Helen Ferguson Amex General Counsel; Dan Rosenblum; dan  
**Subject:** 3rd Request; Form attached: Convert 2011 Paper Case to EFile

Hello Mr. Galterio and Mr. Nessonson:

As we have discussed, please sign and complete the attached (as per your request earlier today here is the form again) NY York State Supreme Court

form "STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO E-FILING" and return it to me at my email addresses above, [dmr417@stern.nyu.edu](mailto:dmr417@stern.nyu.edu), and, [dan@21centdig.com](mailto:dan@21centdig.com). As I am sure you are aware, the effect of the form is simply to convert the case from paper case to EFile case.

Thank you,  
Dan Rosenblum

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Daniel Rosenblum [mailto:[dmr417@stern.nyu.edu](mailto:dmr417@stern.nyu.edu)]

> Sent: Monday, September 30, 2013 09:02 PM

> To: 'Gregory Galterio', 'Larry Nessenson', 'Daniel Rosenblum', 'dan'

> Subject: Re: AMEX

>

> Hello Mr. Galterio and Mr. Nessenson:

>

> Hello, I am writing to follow up on my discussion with Greg last week. Greg had indicated that he had a proposal to resolve the subject matter in American Express v Daniel Rosenblum, NY State Supreme 100156/2011. I agreed that I would have time to speak on Monday or Tuesday, given my current schedule. I look forward to speaking with you. Today Monday I was unable to speak, but, tomorrow Tuesday October 1 there will be a few opportunities.

>

> The opportunities to speak are limited by my driving schedule, as I am working as a route driver for a manufacturer of glass shower doors for almost 2 years now. Tomorrow, as with most Tuesdays, my first delivery is in Wykoff, New Jersey, at about 8 AM. After that, I have a delivery in Manhattan at about 10:30. Therefore, my first opportunity to speak will be between approximately 8:30 and 10:30, while I am driving from Wykoff to Manhattan. My delivery in Manhattan should be done by about 11:30, and then I drive to the Bronx, which should take about an hour. Therefore my second opportunity to speak will be between approximately 11:30 and 12:30 while I am driving. I should be leaving the Bronx about 1 PM, and driving back to Suffolk County which should take about 60 or 90 minutes. Thus, the third opportunity to speak will be approximately 1 PM to 2:30 while I am driving. I am sure your schedules are variable as well, hopefully we can find an opportunity to conveniently, briefly discuss the case Tuesday October 1 during one of these times.

>

> Here are the approximate times I should be free to speak. It may be necessary to call you back if I do not pick up (or vice versa):

> 8:30-10:30;

> 11:30-12:30;

> 1-2:30. If you prefer that I call your office, please feel free to email me a time within these hours that you prefer. The times are approximate, yet I assure you I will have approximately 5 hours of availability to have dialogue tomorrow October 1, and, I will make effort during such time to be in contact if you are available for some limited time during those 5 hours.

>



>

> As a point of information, as you are aware, I have made an extensive filing in Suffolk in a separate action "American Express v Daniel Rosenblum" commenced by Zwicker. When Greg and I last spoke, it seemed he was unable to access the Suffolk case file. I was able to access the Suffolk Case file on NYSCEF fover the weekend, and, I added copies of the front pages, bearing the NYSCEF filing stamp at the top of the page, of each of my 21 items filed in Suffolk to my webpage which also carries filing receipts for all of those 21 documents. I am providing you here with a link to that webpage. I have formatted the webpage so that the titles of the 21 documents can easily be referred to, and so that such titles function as a table of contents for the informations I filed in American Express v Daniel Rosenblum in Suffolk County. The same table of contents is applicable to the matter we look to discuss- 100156/2011, and, I will refer to the filing in our discussion. Here is the link, which I highly recommend for an easy manner for you to review my 9/15/13 filing. As noted, my goal is for a 'just resolution' to the subject matter before the court in our litigation. In due course, shortly, if we do not reach an agreement for a just resolution to the subject matter at bar, I would like to file the same materials in New York in our Index # 100156/2011 to have the record reflect accurately where deliberations in the subject matter are as of October 2013. Therefore, I have attached a consent to e-file form, which I have signed and request that you complete if we are unable to come to a stipulation of discontinuance presently.

>

> Here is a link to the subject matter filed in Suffolk; in New York county we have related subject matter which I am of the mind merits resolution in our current litigation:

> <http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/Amex09152013DocList.php>

>

>

> Thank you.

> Sincerely,

> Dan Rosenblum

> [917-741-5319](tel:917-741-5319)

>

>

>

>

>

> On Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 1:54 PM, Gregory Galterio <[ggalterio@jaffeandasher.com](mailto:ggalterio@jaffeandasher.com)> wrote:

>>

>> Dan:

>>

>>

>>

>> I would like to speak to you for a few more minutes. Please let me know when you are available.

>>

>>

>>

>> Gregory E. Galterio

>>

>> Partner

>>

>> JAFFE & ASHER LLP

>>

>> 600 Third Avenue

>>

>> New York, New York 10016

>>

>> Telephone [212-687-3000](tel:212-687-3000) (Ex. 2526)

>>

>> Facsimile [212-687-9639](tel:212-687-9639)

>>

>> [ggalterio@jaffeandasher.com](mailto:ggalterio@jaffeandasher.com)

>>

>>

>>

>> THIS ELECTRONIC MAIL MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE OR OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL.

>>

>> ANY DISSEMINATION, COPYING OR USE OF THIS E-MAIL BY OR TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THE DESIGNATED AND INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) IS UNAUTHORIZED.

>>

>> IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS E-MAIL IN ERROR, PLEASE DELETE IT FROM YOUR SYSTEM IMMEDIATELY.

>>

>>

>

>

## Dan Rosenblum

---

**From:** dmr@21centdig.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 19, 2013 9:45 PM  
**To:** pjaffe2624@aol.com; gshore@zwickerpc.com; gary@zwickerpc.com; rthuotte@zwickerpc.com; rlee@zwickerpc.com; andrewdick@zwickerpc.com; alerner@zwickerpc.com; cferguson@zwickerpc.com; Ceo@zwickerpc.com; cfreitas@zwickerpc.com; sbann@zwickerpc.com; jyulee@zwickerpc.com; gmsamples@zwickerpc.com; ggalterio@jaffeandasher.com; iglauber@jaffeandasher.com; rluisi@jaffeandasher.com; dluke@jaffeandasher.com; Lnessenson@jaffeandasher.com; mPotashner@jaffeandasher.com; sasher@jaffeandasher.com; Kevin.T.Udy@aexp.com  
**Cc:** dmr@21centdig.com; dmr417@stern.nyu.edu  
**Subject:** 1 Legal Notice Regarding New York State Supreme Court Index #: 100156/2011 in NY County (Plaintiff attorney Jaffe and Asher) and 061458/2013 in Suffolk County (Plaintiff attorney Zwicker), both with title "American Express v Dani  
**Attachments:** NY Supreme Suffolk Amex v Rosenblum 06145 2013 Document Details September 15 2013.pdf  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

---

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK  
AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB-v-DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Index No. 100156/2011 attorney of record: Jaffe & Asher action commenced March 2011 Amex card 371339213796009

---

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF SUFFOLK  
AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB-v-DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Index No. 061458/2013 attorney of record Zwicker & Associates action commenced July 2013 Amex card 371339213796009

---

Mr. Jaffe(firm JA):  
Mr. Asher(firm JA):  
Mr. Paul Wade Zwicker (firm Z):  
Mr. Gary Shore (firm Z):  
Mr. Robert Thuotte(firm Z):  
Mr. John Twite(firm Z):  
Mr. Larry Bowden(firm Z):  
Ms. Brenda Matte (firm Z):  
Mr Lee (firm Z):  
Mr. Dick (firm Z):  
Mr Lerner (firm Z):  
Mr. Ferguson (firm Z):  
Ms. Freitas (firm Z):  
Mr. Bann (firm Z):

Ms. Yullee (firm Z):  
Mr. Samples (firm Z):  
Mr. Sanford S. Asher (firm JA):  
Mr. Gregory Galterio (firm JA):  
Mr. Ira N. Glauber (firm JA):  
Mr. Rocco Luisi (firm JA):  
Mr. Donald Luke (firm JA):  
Mr. Lawrence M. Nessenson (firm JA):  
Mr. Marshall T. Potashner (firm JA):  
Mr. Ross Hoffher (firm JA):  
Mr. Kevin Udy (American Express):

Legal Notice Regarding New York State Supreme Court Index #s: 100156/2011 in NY County (Plaintiff attorney Jaffe and Asher) and 061458/2013 in Suffolk County (Plaintiff attorney Zwicker), both with title "American Express v Daniel M. Rosenblum"

As noted below, you will receive four (4) copies of this email simultaneously, to ensure receipt and review by Zwicker and by Jaffe.

This correspondence is emailed as a courtesy to the above list of attorneys at Jaffe and Asher, and Zwicker & Associates PC, and one (1) Senior Manager GCA-Global Collections at American Express Bank FSB to alert the same of materials Efiled in Suffolk County by the undersigned, Daniel M Roseblum, September 15, 2013.

This past Sunday 09/15/2013 I filed electronically in the above captioned Suffolk County case (Zwicker represents Amex in Suffolk). My intention is to file the same information in the above captioned New York matter (Jaffe represents Amex in NY), 100156/2011- but first that must be converted to Efile.

Please note that while the 21 Documents I Dan Rosenblum electronically "Efiled" on 9/15/13 in NY State Supreme Court, Suffolk County were necessarily in PDF format (21 PDFs), I wrote the same filing in HTML which can be viewed easily on the internet at [www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/amex.php](http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/amex.php) . Note the 21 documents/PDFs Efiled on 9/15/13 by Rosenblum are representative of 21 (twenty-one) HTML links in that webpage, one PDF was Efiled in Suffolk for each HTML link on the Main Document page: (two (2) 'Answer' documents/PDFs, 18 Appendices/PDFs, and 1 Notice of Discovery/PDF = 21 documents/PDFs Efiled in Suffolk by Dan 09/15/2013, starting with Efiled Document 7 in New York State Supreme Suffolk County Index 061458/2013 (index # presumably bulk purchased by Zwicker Rochester Office).

My full September 15 2013 filing can obviously be reviewed by Zwicker, Jaffe, and American Express on the New York State Supreme Court website, WebCivilSupreme, by accessing the Index # purchased by Zwicker Associates, 061458/2013- and reviewing the twenty-one documents filed by Daniel M

Rosenblum on September 15, 2013. However, for your convenience, I have attached a PDF copy of the 'Document Details' for each of the 21 documents I Efiled in Suffolk, plus my "Certification of Signature" PDF on September 15th; therefore the PDF of Efile Document Details is 22 Pages long. And, the same images of the document details (plus a full document list for the case file) can be viewed on the Internet via my website, here: <http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/Amex09152013DocList.php>.

In fact, my first document "e-filed" in Suffolk is an electronic copy of my 4/14/2011 hard copy 'Affidavit in Opposition'. As the document details list shows, the basic title of Efiled Document #7 is :

The full title of my first of 21 documents filed 9/15/2013 is:

{DMR#1} TITLE: Answer 1; Affidavit in Opposition; originally filed April 14 2011 on Amex Card 371339213796009 Summons and Complaint NY County Supreme Index # 100156/2011 Attorney of record: Jaffe & Asher. Answer 1, Efiled Document #7.

My second document E-filed on September 15 2013 is my "Main Document" for 9/15/2013, which carries 18 appendices, each bearing a title and each supplementing a specific paragraph subject matter from the Main Document, Efiled Document # 8 in 061458/2013. The full title of my second document E-filed, as stated in the Document Detail Receipt for Efiled Document # 8 is :

{DMR#2} TITLE:DMRAMEX091513 : Answer2/Main Document/Information TITLE:DMRAMEX091313: filed Suffolk County September 8, 2013. Efiled Document # 8. Please see Appendices 1-18 below.

As mentioned above, my full 9/15 Main Document is viewable on my website on the internet at <http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/amex.php>; all 18 appendices E-filed 9/15 simply represent 18 links from the internet main page- so, any reader can review the file without having to log into the Suffolk Efile system- for ease and convenience. Note that obviously I can edit and change the Internet pages, but, the PDFs filed in 061458/2013 remain as filed 9/15 and if significant changes are made and I want to file them in Suffolk I will re- Efile the changes/amendments as necessary or warranted moving forward. Over the short run, the pertinent subject matter is articulated in the 9/15 filing and Rosenblum will not make any changes over the short run to the 9/15 Efile. Note also my webpages do not show the NYSCEF receipt stamp, but, the NYSCEF system does. I might upload the NYSCEF stamped pages' images to my website.

Please also note that an image of my future request to Jaffe and NY State Supreme to convert 100156/2011 from 'hardcopy case' to 'Efile' case can be viewed on my website at <http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/intendedcalendar.php> at paragraph 10 of that page. That page, which obviously needs editing in due course, is in fact my e-filed document Efiled Document # 15, "ROSENBLUM INTENDED CALENDAR IN THIS ACTION", which is my 7th Appendix filed to my Main September 15 2013 Filing, the 'Main Document' titled as "DMRAMex091513". The reader can either find it at Efiled document #15 in 061458/2013, or, at the above link on my website. I will send a copy within the next two weeks to Jaffe and Asher for consent to change the NY action to Efile, or to Amex in due course if there is reluctance at Jaffe to convert the NY Index number purchased by Jaffe - 100156/2011 to Efile.

The Jaffe/asher firm will receive an email within 3 weeks with a PDF attached, which PDF is a conversion to Efile on NY Index 100156/2011 which is currently non eE-file. Rosenblum looks to file the 9/15/13 in the NY county index # case file. Therefore Rosenblum will make the request to Jaffe by submitting to Jaffe the signed form. Rosenblum requests return of the form to the extent that the case is converted. Once the form has been returned, if the case has not been converted within 3 weeks from that date- at approximately one month from 9/15, if Jaffe has not returned the form, then Rosenblum will make the request

directly to Plaintiff American Express General Counsel to have the NY case 100156/2011 converted to Efile on or about October 15th or two weeks thereafter is the end of the second three week period designated above. Note that the 9/15 filing in Suffolk is writ as a filing as well for NY county. Rosenblum intends some minor edits to the 9/15 Suffolk filing for filing in 100156/2011, but not much time can be devoted to that chore. The caption of the 9/15 filing is writ for both Suffolk and NY. While originating as identical subject matter, the handling of each matter by the respective firms have had the effect such that the subject matter in the NY venue and the Suffolk venue are no longer identical, and each merit resolve in accordance with the CPLR. Please note that my 9/15/2013 Suffolk Efiling, which filings can be reviewed by accessing the NY State Supreme Court Efile system and finding the case by the Index Number- carries my "Calendar" Appendix. It is my intention to file an RJI in the Suffolk case hopefully by Thanksgiving 2013 at the latest- but, as per the record, Jaffe has filed several RJIs in the NY County matter 100156/2011 and presently that case is assigned to Judge Hagler. Regarding the Discontinuance filed by Jaffe in July, please see my commentary on use of Jaffe and Zwicker use of CPLR §§ 8008 CPLR §§ 8020(d) §3217 (d), and CPLR §§ 2104 throughout my 9/15/2013 21 document filing. It is also my opinion that given the record in NY and Suffolk, both cases must eventually be properly terminated by Order or Stipulation, even if American Express is paid in full, in accordance with my appendix filed in Suffolk discussing a "just result" and the other E-filed Appendices of Labor Day 2013.

You will receive the instant electronic correspondence four times: twice from my gmail based email account bearing the domain name "[stern.nyu.edu](mailto:stern.nyu.edu)" and twice from my POP server based email account bearing the domain name "[21centdig.com](mailto:21centdig.com)" to ensure delivery and receipt of this notice. One of the email versions from each of my accounts will carry active blue hyperlinks, the other will have no hyperlinks or PDFs: please check your spam box if you do not receive the copy with the active hyperlinks. You are hereby requested to acknowledge receipt of this correspondence and the information contained on the cited webpage by replying to sender with the statement "I have received the email to which I am replying, and, I am able to access and view the file on the internet at world wide web address [www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/amexjaffezwicksept2013.php](http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/amexjaffezwicksept2013.php)." To all recipients or todays correspondence who do not provide such reply within 20 days you will be provided with two additional emails, one carrying a PDF of the cited webpage but with no links to webpages, and another carrying plain text only with no attachments and no links reminding you to check your junk mail for legal correspondences such as this instant notice. You are hereby requested to acknowledge receipt of this correspondence and the information contained on the cited webpage by replying to sender with the statement "I have received the email to which I am replying, and, I am able to access and view the file on the internet at world wide web address <http://www.twentyfirstcenturydigital.com/amexjaffezwicksept2013.php> or via Efile by logging into the New York State Court System and searching for the Index # 061458/2013 in Supreme Court in Suffolk County."

To all recipients of today's correspondence who do not provide such reply within 20 days you will be provided with two additional emails, one carrying a PDF of the cited webpage but with no links to webpages, and another carrying plain text only with no attachments and no links reminding you to check your junk mail for legal correspondences such as this instant notice on or about October 15.

Please take notice that, amongst other things, in the above captioned index numbers in New York County and Suffolk County, and in the Public Notice web page cited above, I, Daniel M Rosenblum, bring to the attention of Zwicker and Associates PC and Jaffe and Asher LLP that both firms are failing on two important facets in the practice of law: 1) direct lack of diligence. Here, specifically, failure to properly adhere to the CPLR when it comes to Discontinuances and 2) indirect lack of diligence. Here, specifically, allocation of scarce firm resources towards profit generating with a direct correlation to sacrificing the due allocation of said scarce firm resources to the diligent practice of law. On count number one I allege the firm(s)' individual attorneys are directly responsible and accountable for each violation and the firm supervisors and officers are directly responsible and accountable for each violation in all actions brought by the firm and all filing brought by the firm in each state in the United States that the firm(s) operate. On count number two the firm supervisors, officers, and shareholders are directly responsible and accountable for each violation in all actions brought by the firm and all filing brought by the firm in each state in the United States that the

firm(s) operate. As a preliminary matter, the firm(s) are advised to immediately cease and desist from filing Notices of Discontinuances after such date that the law does not allow such mechanism, and to secure Stipulations of Discontinuance or Judicial Orders in any and every action where the firm(s) have filed such instruments and misrepresented the significance of such Notices to parties to the action. As to the second count, the firm(s) are advised to immediately enact policies which allocate resources to diligence in all legal matters handled by the firms over operational profit generating mechanisms such as call centers. This includes all resources devoted to call centers and all facets of call center operations including recording of calls, and commencement or discontinuance of any action in any state in the United States. It is the intention of Rosenblum presently, as time permits, to bring the same to the attention of the Office of Court Administration, inter alia, in New York State and to the appropriate Supervisory agencies overseeing the Professional Discipline for individual attorneys at the firm(s) and supervisors at the firm(s), including but not limited to practices governed by the New York Model Rules of Professional Conduct. The same is described in further detail in my Labor Day 2013 filing at Suffolk County. My intention is to file the same in the above captioned index numbers in New York County as per my intended calendar filed in Suffolk on Labor Day.

Lastly, please note that I do not have an email address for Paul Wade Zwicker of Zwicker and Associates PC; request is hereby made to recipients of this email to please forward a copy to Paul, and, confirmation of receipt by Paul is hereby requested.

Thank you very kindly.  
Sincerely,  
Daniel M. Rosenblum  
September 19, 2013