

In re:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
Index No. 061458/2013

AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB

-v-

{DMR#Q3} Item Omitted // Intentionally Blank

DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Plaintiff attorney of record Zwicker & Associates action commenced July 2013.

Amex card 371339213796009 exp 1/11

and also in re: ..

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK
Index No. 100156/2011

AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB

-v-

{DMR#Q3} Item Omitted // Intentionally Blank

DANIEL M ROSENBLUM

Plaintiff attorney of record: Jaffe & Asher action commenced March 2011

Amex card 371339213796009 exp 1/11

Note to all parts DMRAMEX08042014 items {DMR#23} through {DMR#36} and Supplements DMRAMEX08042014 {Q1- Q19} which cumulatively are DMRAMEX08042014 Efiled Documents #29 through #62; today's filings are not comprehensive. Presently all parts are filed today for August 4th given a variety of factors mostly pertaining to resource allocation and the calendar. DMR cannot spend more weekends working on this necessary filing presently, and is working as a truck driver presently Monday thru Friday. However,

the subject matter and format and titles and content of the documents today filed suffice to give Notice of all parts of DMRs contentions, allegations, assertions, etc to the intended recipients of this correspondence filed in NY State Supreme Court presently. DMR states that the categories, for example, of today's Q1 -Q16 supplements are of great import to DMRs filings, and the articles contained therein evidence DMRs assertions throughout this filing; DMR has accomplished more work on the same categories, and, additional work on such categories for this filing are necessary. And, DMR recognizes the fact that the instant filing , although desired to be filed in the NYC case where an RJ1 has already been filed, is actually filed only in Suffolk Efile where no RJ1 has been filed, and, no motion fee is paid. DMR cites his 2002 Southampton tolling motion, which is 9152013 {{}}; such fees cannot at present are cost prohibitive by DMR; as necessary DMR will cite this 8041014 request for Joinder if the lenders here cited choose to commence litigation under a different docket # rather than recognizing the appropriateness of the joinder subject matter described in DMRs 08042014 materials. As per this paragraph and related paragraphs, therefore, it is recognized that DMRs 08042014 filing is not suggested to be comprehensive in addressing the relevant and pertinent subject matter and evidence supporting Rosenblum's assertions and claims made herein.

In any instance DMR reserves right to amend any letter or correspondence to a motion or discovery notice, and, amend any supplement to an exhibit in evidence for the subject matter herein under examination in any litigation.

