

Exhibit J

Schwartz, Michael (x2252)

From: Meyers, Jessica N. <JMeyers@brownrudnick.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 2:55 PM
To: Teplin, Stephanie (x2543); Schwartz, Michael (x2252); Crawford, Andrew C.; Chew, Benjamin G.; Vasquez, Camille M.; Elaine Bredehoft
Subject: RE: John C. Depp, II v. Amber Laura Heard, Originating Case No. CL-2019-23911 (V.A. Cir. Ct. Fairfax Cnty.)

Caution: External Email!

Stephanie,

On our call yesterday, I stated that we stand by the proposal in my March 30th email concerning the confidentiality protections for documents to be produced by the ACLU witnesses and that we would not be adopting the "model" language you sent over. You stated that the ACLU witnesses would not, as agreed, produce documents on April 9th without an agreement on the confidentiality issue. I stated that I would discuss with our team to see whether we had a proposal for your consideration that would address some of your concerns with proceeding with the production on April 9th and follow up with you today.

Given that the ACLU witnesses have only agreed to produce a small subset of the documents sought by the subpoenas and we already plan to bring a motion to compel the remainder, we have no additional proposal concerning the confidential treatment of the documents sought from the ACLU witnesses and will address the issue in our forthcoming motion to compel.

Best,
Jess

From: Teplin, Stephanie (x2543) <steplin@pbwt.com>
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 12:25 PM
To: Meyers, Jessica N. <JMeyers@brownrudnick.com>; Schwartz, Michael (x2252) <mschwartz@pbwt.com>; Crawford, Andrew C. <ACrawford@brownrudnick.com>; Chew, Benjamin G. <BChew@brownrudnick.com>; Vasquez, Camille M. <CVasquez@brownrudnick.com>; Elaine Bredehoft <ebredehoft@charlsonbredehoft.com>
Subject: RE: John C. Depp, II v. Amber Laura Heard, Originating Case No. CL-2019-23911 (V.A. Cir. Ct. Fairfax Cnty.)

CAUTION: External E-mail. Use caution accessing links or attachments.

Jess,

We write to respond to your objections to our proposed confidentiality agreement, which we are happy to discuss further during our meet-and-confer on Monday.

First, we disagree that courts have not recognized confidential treatment as appropriate for the categories of documents listed in our proposal. Attached are model confidentiality agreements from Justices Masley and Schecter of the New York Supreme Court and from the New York City Bar Association. All three models include a definition of "confidential" very similar to our proposal. If you are unwilling to agree to our proposed definition of "confidential," we would be fine with

adopting the definition in these models. Second, we are unclear why you will not agree to limit the use of produced documents to the Virginia Litigation, since the only purpose of issuing a subpoena is to obtain documents to be used in the litigation from which the subpoena is issued.

We'd like to resolve these issues promptly so that we can stick to our April 9 target date for production. We will not produce any documents without an adequate protective agreement in place.

Have a good weekend and look forward to speaking on Monday afternoon,

Stephanie

From: Meyers, Jessica N. <JMeyers@brownrudnick.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 3:03 PM

To: Schwartz, Michael (x2252) <mschwartz@pbwt.com>; Crawford, Andrew C. <ACrawford@brownrudnick.com>; Chew, Benjamin G. <BChew@brownrudnick.com>; Vasquez, Camille M. <CVasquez@brownrudnick.com>; Elaine Bredehoft <ebredehoft@charlsonbredehoft.com>

Cc: Teplin, Stephanie (x2543) <steplin@pbwt.com>

Subject: RE: John C. Depp, II v. Amber Laura Heard, Originating Case No. CL-2019-23911 (V.A. Cir. Ct. Fairfax Cnty.)

Caution: External Email!

Hi Mike,

We have considered the supplemental confidentiality protections you have proposed for the ACLU witnesses' contemplated document productions. We are willing to expand "confidential" information to include information protected from disclosure by statute, trade secrets, and proprietary business information, to address the confidentiality concerns of the ACLU organization. We cannot, however, agree to the other categories of information for which you seek confidential treatment, as these go beyond the categories of information for which New York and Virginia courts have recognized confidential treatment is appropriate. We also cannot agree to the additional limitations on the use of non-confidential information that you propose.

We are, of course, willing to meet and confer to try to come to a mutually-agreeable arrangement to address the ACLU witnesses' confidentiality concerns. I would propose we add this to the agenda for our 3:30pm call on April 5th. If you would like to provide a counterproposal in the meantime, we are happy to consider it and will be prepared to discuss on the 5th.

Best,
Jess

From: Meyers, Jessica N.

Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:36 PM

To: Schwartz, Michael (x2252) <mschwartz@pbwt.com>; Crawford, Andrew C. <ACrawford@brownrudnick.com>; Chew, Benjamin G. <BChew@brownrudnick.com>; Vasquez, Camille M. <CVasquez@brownrudnick.com>; Elaine Bredehoft <ebredehoft@charlsonbredehoft.com>

Cc: Teplin, Stephanie (x2543) <steplin@pbwt.com>

Subject: RE: John C. Depp, II v. Amber Laura Heard, Originating Case No. CL-2019-23911 (V.A. Cir. Ct. Fairfax Cnty.)

Thank you, Mike. We will review your proposal and get back to you shortly with our position.

Best,
Jess

From: Schwartz, Michael (x2252) <mschwartz@pbwt.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Meyers, Jessica N. <JMeyers@brownrudnick.com>; Crawford, Andrew C. <ACrawford@brownrudnick.com>; Chew, Benjamin G. <BCheW@brownrudnick.com>; Vasquez, Camille M. <CVasquez@brownrudnick.com>; Elaine Bredehoft <ebredehoft@charlsonbredehoft.com>
Cc: Teplin, Stephanie (x2543) <steplin@pbwt.com>
Subject: John C. Depp, II v. Amber Laura Heard, Originating Case No. CL-2019-23911 (V.A. Cir. Ct. Fairfax Cnty.)

CAUTION: External E-mail. Use caution accessing links or attachments.

Counsel,

We have reviewed the Protective Order entered in the underlying Virginia litigation. We're generally comfortable with its terms, but would like a couple additional protections which are set forth in the attached draft letter agreement. These additions address the different confidentiality concerns of an organization as opposed to those of the individual parties in the litigation. Please let us know if this agreement is acceptable. I am also including Ms. Heard's counsel, as we would like both parties to the litigation to join this agreement.

Thanks,
Mike

Michael D. Schwartz
Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 336-2252
mschwartz@pbwt.com

Privileged/Confidential Information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person), you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and kindly notify the sender by reply email. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to receiving email messages of this kind.

The information contained in this electronic message may be legally privileged and confidential under applicable law, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the recipient of this message is not the above-named intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copy or disclosure of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify Brown Rudnick LLP, (617) 856-8200 (if dialing from outside the US, 001-(617)-856-8200) and purge the communication immediately without making any copy or distribution.

To the extent Brown Rudnick is a "controller" of the "personal data" (as each term is defined in the European General Data Protection Regulation (EU/2016/679) or in the UK's Data Protection Act 2018) you have provided to us in this and other communications between us, please see our privacy statement and summary [here](#) which sets out details of the controller, the personal data we have collected, the purposes for which we use it (including any legitimate interests on which we rely), the persons to whom we may transfer the data and when and how we intend to transfer it outside the European Economic Area.

Privileged/Confidential Information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person), you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and kindly notify the sender by reply email. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to receiving email messages of this kind.

The information contained in this electronic message may be legally privileged and confidential under applicable law, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the recipient of this message is not the above-named intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copy or disclosure of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify Brown Rudnick LLP, (617) 856-8200 (if dialing from outside the US, 001-(617)-856-8200) and purge the communication immediately without making any copy or distribution.

To the extent Brown Rudnick is a "controller" of the "personal data" (as each term is defined in the European General Data Protection Regulation (EU/2016/679) or in the UK's Data Protection Act 2018) you have provided to us in this and other communications between us, please see our privacy statement and summary [here](#) which sets out details of the controller, the personal data we have collected, the purposes for which we use it (including any legitimate interests on which we rely), the persons to whom we may transfer the data and when and how we intend to transfer it outside the European Economic Area.
