

EXHIBIT G



Gunar Olsen <golsen3@fordham.edu>

Follow up from SJP meeting with Operations Committee

Gunar Olsen <golsen3@fordham.edu>

Fri, Oct 28, 2016 at 2:33 AM

To: Fordham USGOpsLC <usgopslc@fordham.edu>

Cc: Ahmad Awad <aawad1@fordham.edu>, Areeg Abdelhamid <aabdelhamid1@fordham.edu>, Christina Napolitano <cnapolitano2@fordham.edu>, Alexa McMenamin <amcmenamin4@fordham.edu>, Sapphira Lurie <slurie@fordham.edu>, Sofia Dadap <sdadap@fordham.edu>, Glenn Hendler <ghendler@fordham.edu>, Hend Elmadboly <helimadboly@fordham.edu>, "D. Eldredge [Staff]" <eldredge@fordham.edu>, Dorothy Wenzel <dwenzel@fordham.edu>

Hi Kayla,

Thank you again for meeting with me, Ahmad, Areeg, Christina, Sofia, Sapphira, and Prof. Hendler yesterday, October 26. We definitely agree that it was helpful for fostering mutual understanding! The purpose of this email is simply to provide our own follow up because we believe it's good practice to make sure everything is on the record.

You and your colleagues on the Operations Committee asked us about why we chose the name 'Students for Justice in Palestine.' We replied that it's important to connect ourselves in name to a broader movement to restore justice and human rights to Palestinians. We provided you a copy of an email from the Steering Committee of National Students for Justice in Palestine Committee that said,

National Students for Justice in Palestine is an ad-hoc conference planning body and support network for student groups active on Palestine in North America. As such a group, we have not had any requirements for any group that comes to our conference to include specific language in their respective constitutions.

OpsCom also asked us about our advocacy of BDS. We replied that it's a nonviolent tactic to put economic pressure on the state of Israel to comply with international law and grant Palestinians basic rights. You asked us how we can advocate BDS in light of Governor Cuomo's BDS executive order. We replied that we and many legal groups believe it to be unequivocally unconstitutional. Here are two lawyers' write ups that explain why:

<https://www.aclu.org/blog/speak-freely/gov-cuomos-bds-blacklist-affront-free-expression>

<https://www.thenation.com/article/andrew-cuomos-bds-blacklist-is-a-clear-violation-of-the-first-amendment/>

You asked us to make a quick edit to our constitution about keeping tracking of who is an active member and who is a passive member. You said that once we've done that (I sent you the updated version a few minutes after the meeting), you would try to get our club up for a vote at the USG meeting on Thursday, November 3. When we asserted that such a move would violate the terms of the club approval process, you said, "I know." We quoted verbatim from the club approval process document: "After all revisions to the constitution have been made in accordance with constitutional guidelines, the packet will be submitted to the Director of the Office for Student Involvement and then to the Dean of Students." In your email to us on October 26, you reiterated this process: "Once we get the document to as perfect as it can be, it is submitted to Dr. Dorothy and Dean Eldredge for their approval." We asked why SJP is being given what looks like differential treatment. You replied that Dr. Wenzel gave you permission to make such a move so long as we made the final edit to the constitution.

You also mentioned that Dr. Wenzel advised you to notify the Jewish Student Organization following our meeting with you about when SJP would be put up for a USG vote. We immediately expressed concern that notifying JSO is an exploitation of the democratic process because it gives JSO an undue advantage to mobilize support against us. We also put on the record that one of our members heard from a JSO e-board member that JSO sent

an email to its members, telling them to vote against SJP club approval, using the hashtag #JewPower. The new detail here is that JSO asked our member Christina to come to their meeting soon after our meeting with you concluded. She went and was not treated very well. With tears in her eyes, she said, "They made me feel like I shouldn't be a Jew." SJP condemns any such effort to make any Fordham student question their religious identity through intimidation tactics.

We asked when we can expect to hear back from you about when we will find out which Thursday SJP will be voted on at USG. In your email to us a few hours after the meeting, you said that you will email us on Friday immediately after you meet with Dr. Wenzel at 5:30 p.m. That's perfect! We look forward to hearing from you.

We do have one question, however. If USG votes against SJP club approval, what happens? What's next in the process?

Thanks again for your help! We are all glad that Areeg enthusiastically expressed her gratitude for your efforts at the conclusion of our meeting. Talk with you soon.

Best,
Gunar